

Hyperbolic Problems with Totally Characteristic Boundary

Ingo Witt (University of Göttingen)

Joint with

Zhuoping Ruan (Nanjing University)

International Conference on Pseudo-differential Operators

July 7-8, 2020

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The pseudodifferential calculus
- 3 Well-posedness results

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The pseudodifferential calculus
- 3 Well-posedness results

We study the hyperbolic I(B)VP

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u + x A(t, x, y) \partial_x u + \sum_{j=1}^d A_j(t, x, y) \partial_j u = f(t, x, y), \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0(x, y). \end{cases}$$

where $(t, x, y) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$, $\partial_j = \partial/\partial y_j$, and $A, A_j \in \mathcal{C}^\infty([0, T] \times \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{1+d}}; M_{N \times N}(\mathbb{C}))$.

As we will see, **no boundary conditions** are to be imposed at $x = 0$ due to the fact that the boundary is **totally characteristic**.

We allow (formal) **asymptotics** of the form

$$u(t, x, y) \sim \sum_{(p,k) \in P} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} x^{-p} \log^k x (\gamma_{pk} u)(t, y) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow +0,$$

where $P \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{N}_0$ is an **asymptotic type**.

- We show well-posedness in **function spaces** $H_{P,\theta}^{s,\delta}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{1+d}})$.
- Results comparable to ours were obtained by R. Sakamoto (1989) (well-posedness in function spaces $H_{T^\delta P_{0,S}}^{s,\delta}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{1+d}})$ for $s \geq 0$, but with different techniques).
- New is that the **boundary traces** $\gamma_{pk} u$ solve **hyperbolic Cauchy problems in the boundary** $(0, T) \times \partial \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{1+d}}$.

1. Hyperbolic problems with characteristic boundary of constant rank
2. Compressible Euler equations with vacuum boundary in 3D:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \rho + \rho \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0, \\ \partial_t \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p = 0, \\ \partial_t \mathbf{e} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{e} + \frac{p}{\rho} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0, \end{cases}$$

written in non-conservative coordinates $(\rho, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{e})^T$, where ρ is mass density, $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, v_3)^T$ is velocity, \mathbf{e} is specific internal energy, p is pressure, and \mathbf{c} is speed of sound.

- **Moving vacuum interface** (Jang-Masmoudi, 2015): $\mathbf{c} \sim \sqrt{x}$ as $x \rightarrow +0$, where $x > 0$ is the distance measured from inside the flow region.
- **Stationary vacuum interface**: $\mathbf{c} \sim x$ as $x \rightarrow +0$. Here, we have, among others, that $\rho \sim x^{\frac{2}{\gamma-1}}$ as $x \rightarrow +0$, where $1 < \gamma < 3$ is the adiabatic constant.

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The pseudodifferential calculus**
- 3 Well-posedness results

We first need **function spaces**.

1. The weighted Sobolev space $\mathcal{H}^{s,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+d})$ for $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ consists of all $u = u(x, y)$ such that

$$x^{-\gamma}(x\partial_x)^j\partial_y^\alpha u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+d}), \quad j + |\alpha| \leq s.$$

For general $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, these spaces are defined by interpolation and duality.

2. We also set

$$\mathcal{K}^{s,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+d}) = \left\{ u \mid \varphi u \in \mathcal{H}^{s,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+d}), (1 - \varphi) u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+d}) \right\}.$$

Here, $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+})$, $\varphi(x) = 1$ for $x \leq 1$, and $\varphi(x) = 0$ for $x \geq 2$.

We now fix a **basic conormal order** $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$. The space $\mathcal{K}^{0,\delta}(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+d})$ will be our basic Hilbert space.

An **asymptotic type** $P \in \underline{As}^\delta$ is given by a discrete set $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}P \subset \mathbb{C}$ and sequence $\{m_p\}_{p \in \pi_{\mathbb{C}}P} \subset \mathbb{N}$ with the following properties:

- $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}P \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Re z < 1/2 - \delta\}$,
- $\Re p \rightarrow -\infty$ as $p \in \pi_{\mathbb{C}}P$, $|p| \rightarrow \infty$,
- $p \in \pi_{\mathbb{C}}P$ implies $p - 1 \in \pi_{\mathbb{C}}P$ and $m_{p-1} \geq m_p$.

It is convenient to write P as a set, i.e.,
 $P = \{(p, k) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{N}_0 \mid p \in \pi_{\mathbb{C}}P, k < m_p\}$.

Due to lack of regularity, we cannot write asymptotic terms as tensor products. Instead, for $w \in H^{s, \langle k \rangle}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}\{\varphi(x\langle \eta \rangle)\hat{w}(\eta)\}x^{-\rho}\log^k x \in \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} \mathcal{H}^{s+\epsilon, 1/2-\Re p-\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+d})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{\varphi(x\langle \eta \rangle)\hat{w}(\eta)\}x^{-\rho}\log^k x - \varphi(x)x^{-\rho}\log^k x w(y) \\ \in \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} \mathcal{H}^{s-\epsilon, 1/2-\Re p+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+d}) \end{aligned}$$

$w \in H^{s, \langle k \rangle}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ means that $\langle \eta \rangle^s \log^k \langle \eta \rangle \hat{w}(\eta) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Here, $\langle \eta \rangle = (2 + |\eta|^2)^{1/2}$.

For $(p, k) \in P$, define the potential operator Γ_{pk} by

$$(\Gamma_{pk} w)(x, y) = \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \{ \varphi(x \langle \eta \rangle) \hat{w}(\eta) \} x^{-p} \log^k x.$$

Definition

Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $P \in \underline{\text{As}}^\delta$, $\theta \geq 0$. For $\pi_{\mathbb{C}} P \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Re z = 1/2 - \delta - \theta\} = \emptyset$, the space $H_{P, \theta}^{s, \delta}(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+d})$ consists of all $u \in \mathcal{K}^{s, \delta}(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+d})$ for which there are

$$u_{pk} \in H^{s + \Re p + \delta - 1/2, \langle k \rangle}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

for $(p, k) \in P$, $\Re p > 1/2 - \delta - \theta$ such that

$$\varphi(x)u(x, y) - \sum_{\substack{(p, k) \in P, \\ \Re p > 1/2 - \delta - \theta}} (\Gamma_{pk} u_{pk})(x, y) \in \mathcal{H}^{s - \theta, \delta + \theta}(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+d}).$$

For general $\theta \geq 0$, these spaces are defined by complex interpolation.

We shall write $\gamma_{pk}U = U_{pk}$.

Lemma

For $s \geq 0$,

- $H^s(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+d}) = H_{P_0,s}^{s,0}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{1+d}})$, where $P_0 = \{(-\ell, 0) \mid \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is the asymptotic type that arises from *Taylor expansion*,
- $H_0^s(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{1+d}}) = H_{\mathcal{O},s}^{s,0}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{1+d}})$, where \mathcal{O} is the empty asymptotic type.

Let $\Psi_c^\mu(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^{1+d})$ denote the class of classical **cone-degenerate pseudodifferential operators on \mathbb{R}_+^{1+d}** , of order $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, with **holomorphic conormal symbols**.

We formally write $A(x, y, xD_x, D_y)$ for elements of $\Psi_c^\mu(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^{1+d})$. Then $\sigma_c^{-j}(A)(z) = \frac{1}{j!} \partial_x^j A(0, y, iz, D_y) \in \Psi^\mu(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Elements of the calculus

- $\Psi_c^\mu(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^{1+d}) \circ \Psi_c^\nu(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^{1+d}) \subseteq \Psi_c^{\mu+\nu}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^{1+d})$ plus **symbolic rules** for the composition.
- Formal adjoints belong to the calculus as well.
- $\Psi_c^\mu(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^{1+d}) \subset \bigcap_{s,\delta,P,\theta} \mathcal{L}(H_{P,\theta}^{s+\mu,\delta}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^{1+d}), H_{P,\theta}^{s,\delta}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^{1+d}))$

Important note

Symmetrization of a hyperbolic systems requires neither inversion of nor a parametrix construction for elliptic operators.

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The pseudodifferential calculus
- 3 Well-posedness results**

We now study the **Cauchy problem**

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u + \mathcal{A}(t, x, y, xD_x, D_y)u = f(t, x, y) & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_+^{1+d}, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0(x, y) & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+^{1+d}, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty([0, T]; \Psi_c^1(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{1+d}}; \mathbb{C}^N))$.

Hyperbolicity assumption (**existence of a symbolic symmetrizer**)

There exists a $b \in \mathcal{C}^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{S}^{(0)}(\tilde{T}^*\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{1+d}} \setminus 0; \text{Mat}_{N \times N}(\mathbb{C})))$ such that

- $b(t)$ is positive definite,
- $b(t) \tilde{\sigma}^1(\mathcal{A}(t))$ is skew-Hermitian.

Theorem (Existence, uniqueness, and higher regularity)

Let $u_0 \in H_{P,\theta}^{s,\delta}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^{1+d}; \mathbb{C}^N)$ and $f \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{\ell} W^{k,1}((0, T); H_{P,\theta_k}^{s-k,\delta}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^{1+d}; \mathbb{C}^N))$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, where $\theta = \theta_0 \geq \theta_1 \geq \dots \geq \theta_\ell$. Then the Cauchy problem (2) possesses a unique solution

$$u \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{\ell} \mathcal{C}^k([0, T]; H_{P,\theta_k}^{s-k,\delta}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^{1+d}; \mathbb{C}^N)).$$

Furthermore, the *boundary trace* $\gamma_{pk}u$ for $(p, k) \in P$, $\Re p > 1/2 - \delta - \theta$ solves the problem

$$(3) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t(\gamma_{pk}u) + \sigma_c^0(\mathcal{A}(t))(p)\gamma_{pk}u = \gamma_{pk}f \\ \quad - \sum_{\substack{j \geq 0, l-r=k, \\ (j,l,r) \neq (0,k,0)}} \frac{1}{r!} \partial_z^r \sigma_c^{-j}(\mathcal{A}(t))(p+j) \gamma_{p+j,l}(u), \\ \gamma_{pk}u|_{t=0} = \gamma_{pk}u_0. \end{array} \right.$$

This is *Cauchy problem* for an $N \times N$ first-order *hyperbolic system* in $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

Hyperbolicity is implied by $\sigma^1(\sigma_c^0(\mathcal{A}(t))(p))(y, \eta) = \tilde{\sigma}^1(\mathcal{A}(t))(0, y, 0, \eta)$.

The main step in the proof is to establish a corresponding *a priori* estimate.

Theorem

Let $u \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; H_{P,\theta}^{s+1,\delta}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^{1+d}; \mathbb{C}^N)) \cap \mathcal{C}^1([0, T]; H_{P,\theta}^{s,\delta}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^{1+d}; \mathbb{C}^N))$. Then

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u(t)\|_{H_{P,\theta}^{s,\delta}} \lesssim \|u(0)\|_{H_{P,\theta}^{s,\delta}} + \int_0^T \|\partial_t u(t) + \mathcal{A}(t)u(t)\|_{H_{P,\theta}^{s,\delta}} dt.$$

Utilizing an **order reduction**, we can first reduce to the case $s = 0$.

Then we split the proof into **two parts**:

- $\theta = 0$ and $H_{P,0}^{0,\delta}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^{1+d}) = \mathcal{K}^{0,\delta}(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+d})$ (i.e., without asymptotics),
- $\theta > 0$ (i.e., possibly with asymptotics).

Let $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty([0, T]; \Psi_c^0(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^{1+d}; \mathbb{C}^N))$ be a **symmetrizer** for $\partial_t + \mathcal{A}(t)$, i.e.,

- $\mathcal{B}(t) = \mathcal{B}(t)^* \geq cI$ for some $c > 0$,
- $\Im \tilde{\sigma}^1(\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}) = 0$.

In fact, we can choose \mathcal{B} such that $\tilde{\sigma}^0(\mathcal{B}(t)) = b(t)$.

Derivation of the energy inequality in case $\theta = 0$ relies on the following facts:

- $\langle \mathcal{B}(t)v, v \rangle$ is equivalent to $\|v\|^2$ uniformly in $t \in [0, T]$.
- Integration by parts produces no boundary terms, i.e., $\langle Au, v \rangle = \langle u, A^*v \rangle$ for $A \in \Psi_c^1(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^{1+d})$ and $u, v \in \mathcal{K}^{1,\delta}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^{1+d})$.
- $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A} + (\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A})^* \in \mathcal{C}^\infty([0, T]; \Psi_c^0(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^{1+d}; \mathbb{C}^N))$.

In case $\theta > 0$, we can assume $\pi_{\mathbb{C}} P \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Re z = 1/2 - \delta - \theta\} = \emptyset$.

Write $u_0 = u(0)$ and $f = \partial_t u + \mathcal{A}u$.

Successively solving the Cauchy problems (3) for the **boundary traces** $\gamma_{pk} u$, we find

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\gamma_{pk} u(t)\|_{H^{s+\Re p+\delta-1/2, \langle k \rangle}} \lesssim \sum_{j \geq 0, l \geq k} \left(\|\gamma_{p+j, l} u_0\|_{H^{s+\Re p+\delta+j-1/2, \langle l \rangle}} + \int_0^T \|\gamma_{p+j, l} f(t)\|_{H^{s+\Re p+\delta+j-1/2, \langle l \rangle}} dt \right).$$

Setting $\bar{u}_0 = u_0 - \sum_{\substack{(p,k) \in P, \\ \Re p > 1/2 - \delta - \theta}} (\Gamma_{pk} \gamma_{pk} u)|_{t=0} \in \mathcal{K}^{s-\theta+1, \delta+\theta}(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+\delta}; \mathbb{C}^N)$,

$\bar{f} = f - (\partial_t + \mathcal{A}(t)) \left(\sum_{\substack{(p,k) \in P, \\ \Re p > 1/2 - \delta - \theta}} \Gamma_{pk} \gamma_{pk} u \right) \in$

$\mathcal{C}([0, T]; \mathcal{K}^{s-\theta, \delta+\theta}(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+\delta}; \mathbb{C}^N))$, we next solve the hyperbolic system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \bar{u} + \mathcal{A}(t) \bar{u} = \bar{f}, \\ \bar{u}|_{t=0} = \bar{u}_0. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\bar{u}(t)\|_{\mathcal{K}^{s-\theta, \delta+\theta}} \lesssim \|\bar{u}_0\|_{\mathcal{K}^{s-\theta, \delta+\theta}} + \int_0^T \|\bar{f}(t)\|_{\mathcal{K}^{s-\theta, \delta+\theta}} dt.$$

Because of $u = \bar{u} + \sum_{\substack{(p,k) \in P, \\ \Re p > 1/2 - \delta - \theta}} \Gamma_{pk} \gamma_{pk} u$, it follows that

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u(t)\|_{H_{P,\theta}^{s,\delta}} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H_{P,\theta}^{s,\delta}} + \int_0^T \|f(t)\|_{H_{P,\theta}^{s,\delta}} dt.$$

